



***Biblica et Patristica Thoruniensia***

Nicolaus Copernicus University  
Faculty of Theology  
ul. Gagarina 37  
87-100 Toruń, POLAND

**Review form**

I. Evaluation of an article:

II. Reviewers should issue their final decisions choosing from among the following options:

- 1) Accept the paper without revision;
- 2) Text acceptable for publishing without revision, but the level of its originality is low. Thus its publishing seems questionable;
- 3) Minor revision of the paper required (reviewer is to indicate what is to be corrected);
- 4) Major revision of the paper necessary - no guarantee that the author will ever succeed in improving the quality of the paper (reviewer is to indicate what should be corrected);
- 5) Reject the paper because it does not fit the standards of BePTh and there is no real chance for its improvement;
- 6) Acceptable for publishing in some other periodical.

III. The grounds for the reviewer's evaluation (formal and substantive aspects of an article).

Place and date

Reviewer's signature